UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,803	09/23/2004	Nobuyuki Fujiwara	450100-04444	3946
William S From	7590 12/24/200 nmer	EXAMINER		
Frommer Lawrence & Haug 745 Fifth Avenue			ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S	
New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/508,803	FUJIWARA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO	2424			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard property of the period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNICATION THIS COMMUNICATION TO THE REPORT OF THIS COMMUNICATION THE REPORT OF THE THE REPORT OF THE THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE REPOR	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2: This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 1 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	Րhis action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, բ				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the applicating the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	drawn from consideration.				
	Ninor				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the objected to by the objected to by the objected to by the drawing(s) be detection is required if the drawing(s) is considerable.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/508,803 Page 2

Art Unit: 2424

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 09/29/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues on page 9 second paragraph, "This invention generates two spaces: a content information space based on received content data and a search keyword space based on received keyword. In contrast, Sumita generates only one space-the content information space. Examiner disagrees. Sumita discloses in (column 10 lines 10-37) the accuracy of the video analysis and speech recognition can be increased by restricting their field of application. The field of the program is identified in advance through an electric program guide and dictionaries used in video analysis. Moreover, the field estimation processing section 146 stores into the field dictionary (147) words and phrases frequently used in each field (for example in baseball, team names such as giants and so on). Therefore, Sumita actually discloses the second generating means for generating a search keyword. The second generating search keyword is triggered by the electric program guide. The dictionary, as disclosed by Sumita changes and restricts the field of search according to each category such as news, music (column 10 lines 28-37). Therefore, for each search applied in the field of keyword extraction two searches are performed one through the electric program guide and the other using the dictionary (specific for each category of search).
- 2. In addition, applicant argues, "Sumita's extracted words are from content data and has nothing related with a search keyword sent from the user." Examiner again

Application/Control Number: 10/508,803 Page 3

Art Unit: 2424

disagrees. Please note in Wehmeyer (column 14 lines 54-66) with regard to keyword searching, the controller (115) preferably is programmed to respond to a keyword search command from a user.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sumita (US 6,581,207 B1) in view of Wehmeyer (Patent Number 6,169,543 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 5-6, Sumita discloses an information processing apparatus, method, a recording medium which records a program in a computer-readable format, and a program which controls a computer to execute comprising: first generating means for generating a content information space based on content information and on additional information related to said content information (Information filtering unit (2)

Application/Control Number: 10/508,803

Art Unit: 2424

in figure 1 and figure 6); receiving means for receiving search information from an information processing terminal over a network (col.4, lines 40-50); first acquiring means for acquiring a search keyword from said search information received by said receiving means (Keyword Extraction (E3) in figure 8); searching means for searching a search-related information database for information related to said search keyword acquired by said first acquiring means (Find frequency of words contained in scenes to be processed (F2) in figure 10); second generating means for generating a search keyword space based on said related information retrieved by said searching means and on said search keyword (Field Dictionary Section (147) in figure 21); comparing means for comparing information (Compute similarity to profile (G2) in figure 13) in said content information space generated by said first generating means with information in said search keyword space generated by said second generating means (Retrieve keywords associated with informed program from electric program guide information storage section (J1) in figure 16); preparing means for preparing a list of display-ready information from the information deemed to match as a result of the comparison by said comparing means; and transmitting means for transmitting said list of display-ready information prepared by said preparing means to said information processing terminal (Send channel-to-time information to equipment transmitting/receiving section (D7) in figure 6). However, Sumita fails to compare the results of the searches by said comparison means. Wehmeyer, discloses a controller (115) which responds to entry of the keywords by searching the

Page 4

characteristic information occurrences (or matches with) the keywords [column 14 lines 58-63].

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a comparison search means. This is a useful combination because a system is capable of acquiring the closest relationship to a search by comparing user profiles.

Regarding claim 2, Sumita discloses an information processing apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising updating means for updating said search-related information database on the basis of said content information space generated by said first generating means (Store keyword-to-time information into program information storage).

Regarding claim 3, Wehmeyer discloses an information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said preparing means prepares said list of display-related information from the matching information derived from the comparison by said comparing means, in accordance with said search information (The controller (115) then modifies the menu to display those of the program or item identifiers and the additional program or item identifiers which have matched terms in the characteristic information [column 14 lines 58-63]).

Regarding claim 4, Sumita discloses an information processing apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising second acquiring means (Add Keywords that are not contained in profile (J2) in figure 15) for acquiring said content information

Art Unit: 2424

from another information processing apparatus over said network (Content Analyzing Section (14) in figure 2).

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO whose telephone number is (571)270-3004. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:30am - 5:00pm) alternate Fri off (EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571)272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,803 Page 7

Art Unit: 2424

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424